

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

---

United States of America,

Criminal No. 19-cr-168-1 (MJD/HB)

Plaintiff,

v.

Roberto Lee (01),

**ORDER ON REPORT  
AND RECOMMENDATION**

Defendant.

---

The above-entitled matter came before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections have been filed to the Report and Recommendation in the time period permitted.<sup>1</sup>

Based upon the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and all the files, records and proceedings herein,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. The Report and Recommendation [Docket No. 185] is **ADOPTED**;  
and

---

<sup>1</sup> Defendant filed a document entitled “Defendant Lee’s Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Order and Report and Recommendation,” which states that he “objects to Magistrate Judge Hildy Bowbeer’s Report and Recommendations and Order Dkt. Nos 184 and 185.” [Docket No. 192] However, that document further states: “Specifically, defendant objects as follows:” and proceeds to solely object to the Magistrate Judge’s Order [Docket No. 184] with regard to the timing of disclosure of informants. There is no mention of any objection to the Report and Recommendation regarding the motion to suppress evidence.

2. Defendant Roberto Lee's Motion to Suppress Evidence [Doc. No. 94] is **DENIED**.

Dated: October 22, 2019

s/ Michael J. Davis

MICHAEL J. DAVIS

United States District Judge